

Mr. Ronnie I. Scheall
3395 Parkway Dr.
Bay City, MI 48706
February 11, 2009

The Honorable Robert D. Drain
United States Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green
New York, NY 10004-1408

Re: DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

**OBJECTION OF RONNIE I. SCHEALL TO DEBTORS' MOTION TO CONFIRM
DEBTORS' AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE EMPLOYER-PAID-POST-
RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS AND EMPLOYER-PAID-POST -
RETIREMENT LIFE INSURANCE BEBEFITS FOR CERTAIN (A) SALARIED
EMPLOYEES AND (B) RETIREES AND THEIR SURVIVING SPOUSES
(SALARIED OPEB TERMINATION MOTION)**

I, Mr. Ronnie I. Scheall, am a salaried retiree of Delphi Corporation. I have several objections to the Salaried OPEB Termination Motion:

1. I object to the short time to the Objection Deadline. Employees and retirees were notified of this motion on February 5, 2009 and the Objection Deadline has been set as February 17, 2009. Retirees were notified by a FedEx package delivered to their home. It is obvious that the Debtors' have had their army of attorneys working for some time preparing the Motion. It is only fair to offer the retirees sufficient time to prepare an objection to the motion. This is mid-winter in one of the coldest and snowiest winters that the midwest and northeast United States has experienced in the past fifty years. Many retirees are away from their home and spending time in a warmer climate (i.e., Florida, Arizona, etc). Some may be away for a week or two and others for a period of months. They had the opportunity to have their US Mail forwarded to their winter or vacation residence, but that does not mean that FedEx deliveries will be forwarded. This means that many retirees did not receive notification of the motion. Many of those that do hear of the motion will hear of it later than the February 5 notification date, allowing an even shorter time to file before the objection deadline. Judge Drain, I am asking you to extend the Objection Deadline for this motion to May 1, or preferably later, to allow all affected parties adequate time to prepare their objections.

2. I object to the termination of Salaried OPEB for Health Care and Life Insurance. It was not long ago that Delphi Salaried Retirees were granted Health Care Benefits for life. Then a few years ago, Delphi changed their policy such that retiree Health Care Benefits would cease at age 65 when the retiree became eligible for Medicare. This policy also provided for a \$10,000 or \$20,000 (depending on retirement date) Retiree Health Reimbursement Account for retirees to help with medi-gap insurance or other health care expenses after their Delphi Health Care Benefits were terminated at age 65. That policy change was a great benefit to Delphi, but enough is enough. As they mention in their motion, one of the big factors that is affecting increasing health care costs is "the aging population of the United States." The termination of Health Care Benefits at age 65 eliminated the effect of the aging population. It will cost Delphi no more if I live to age 90 than if I live to age 72 with that policy. Any further cut in Retiree Health Care Benefits should not be approved. We are talking about a few years worth of benefits for Delphi employees and retirees hired by GM prior to 1993.

3. I worked for General Motors for 32 years and after the formation of Delphi, I worked for another 3 years before retiring as a Delphi employee. This typically is the case with many Delphi Salary Retirees. I was refused the opportunity to retire as a GM employee. If we are no longer worthy of Delphi Retirement Benefits, then I plead the court to consider reinstating my benefits under the GM retirement benefits. The motion to drop the Delphi Salary Retirement Benefits completely is a brutal, ruthless undertaking of the Delphi Lawyers. I worked tirelessly in good times and in bad over the 35 years to keep GM and Delphi in a profitable situation. I retired thinking that I earned the retirement benefits that had accrued on behalf of my work efforts.

4. I object to the termination of Salary Retiree Benefits since they were a Delphi published benefit condition, and inclusive in, my financial justification for retirement. If I would have been with the understanding of having no benefits in retirement, then I would not have retired when I did.

I would like the opportunity to provide a better objection to the Debtors' motion, but I do not have an army of accountants and lawyers, and I need more time than is allowed by the unreasonably short notice. Judge Drain, I am asking you again to extend the Objection Deadline for this motion to May 1, or preferably later, to allow all affected parties adequate time to prepare their objections.

Thank you,



Mr. Ronnie I. Scheall

I have also caused copies to be served on the following parties via first class mail on February 11, 2009.

Copy (i)

Delphi Corporation
Attn: General Counsel
5725 Delphi Drive
Troy, MI 48098

Copy (ii)

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
333 West Wacker Drive
Suite 2100
Chicago, IL 60606
Attn: John Wm. Butler, Jr.

Copy (iii)

Davis Polk & Wardwell
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Attn: Donald Bernstein & Brian Resnick

Copy (iv)

Latham & Watkins LLP
885 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Attn: Robert J. Rosenberg & Mark A. Broude

Copy (v)

Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver, Jacobson LLP
One New York Plaza
New York, New York 10022
Attn: Bonnie Steingart

Copy (vi)

Office of the United States Trustee
Southern District of New York
33 Whitehall Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10004
Attn: Brian Masumoto